



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's attorney to discuss after-final previously withdrawn claim 46, which due to applicant's amendments to after-final amended claim 2, is now rejoinable, but seemingly contradicts the amendments made to claim 2. The applicant clarified for the examiner that in claim 46 it is the driving means and not the first, second, or third coil that are overlapped. The attorney granted the examiner permission to amend claim 46 to clarify in claim 46 that it is the driving means and not the coils that are overlapped, and to add the previously withdrawn dependent claims 20-26, 30, 32-34, and 39-41, back into the application by examiner's Amendment. The examiner was thanked for her time.